Volume: 6, Issue: 6
Page: 1 - 14
2025

ISSN: 2709-9911 (Online), ISSN: 2710-236X (Print)
International Journal of Medicine, Nursing & Health Sciences (IJMNHS) ®
(IJMNHS.COM)

Theory Development on Compassion Fatigue Resilience

Author(s), OSAGIEDE Rosaline

Abstract:

Compassion fatigue is a pervasive challenge in medical-surgical nursing, posing risks to both nurse well-being and patient care quality. Defined as the emotional, physical, and psychological exhaustion resulting from prolonged exposure to patient suffering, compassion fatigue affects an estimated 20–40% of nurses, leading to emotional detachment, reduced work engagement, and increased turnover. The Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework (CFR) was developed to address this issue through a comprehensive, nurse-centered approach that integrates psychological resilience, self-care strategies, social support, and organizational policies. Grounded in Stress and Coping Theory, Resilience Theory, Self-Determination Theory, and the Biopsychosocial Model, the CFR emphasizes proactive resilience-building to maintain empathy, professional efficacy, and mental well-being. Multimodal interventions, including mindfulness, cognitive-behavioral therapy, reflective practice, peer support, mentorship, and organizational wellness initiatives, are operationalized to reduce fatigue and enhance adaptive coping. Pilot testing, revision, and validation processes ensure its feasibility, cultural adaptability, and theoretical rigor across diverse clinical settings. By combining individual, interpersonal, and systemic strategies, the CFR offers a structured framework to sustain nurse resilience, improve patient outcomes, and promote workforce retention. Its implementation represents a proactive, evidence-based approach to mitigating compassion fatigue in high-stress medical-surgical environments.

Keywords: Compassion fatigue, resilience, medical-surgical nursing, nurse well-being, organizational support, mindfulness interventions,

IJMNHS
Accepted 5 December 2025
Published 11 December 2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1790301

About Author

Author(s):

OSAGIEDE Rosaline
Department of Postbasic Nursing Studies
College of Nursing Science
University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Edo State
rosalineosagiede@gmail.com

Introduction

Compassion fatigue is a common and important problem in medical-surgical nursing, and carries risk for both the well-being of the nurse and the quality of patient care. Defined as the emotional, physical, and psychological fatigue caused by exposure to suffering patients over a long period of time, compassion fatigue can be particularly prevalent in medical-surgical units, where nurses work with complex cases, acute pain, and often death of patients (Figley, 2015). Research shows that roughly 20-40% of nurses working in such settings suffer from compassion fatigue, which comes in the form of being emotionally detached, putting in less effort at work, and taking more leave from their jobs (Potter et al. 2013; Zhang et al 2018). These individual impacts diffuse into the wider healthcare setting and affect patient safety, length of stay and contribute to nurse turnover which is of vital importance in the context of global staffing shortages (Aiken et al., 2014). Despite the prevalence of compassion fatigue, previously significant parts of the nursing literature focused on identification and measurement, rather than prevention and building resilience.

To redress this gap, a concept of the Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework (CFR) is proposed which can place a whole-of-person approach in a context specific to the reality of medical-surgical nursing. The framework combines psychological resilience, self-care strategies, social support and organisational policies in order to help empower nurses to maintain empathy, professional efficacy and patient outcomes. By focusing on resilience, as opposed to reactive within the framework, nurses are encouraged to develop adaptive coping mechanisms as well as to maintain mental and emotional well-being before fatigue compromises their ability to provide care. Through a methodical theory-building approach, it is hoped that CFR will deliver a structured model for understanding and dealing with compassion fatigue to advance its relevance and usefulness for current nursing practice.

The issue of compassion fatigue in medical-surgical units is a result of the high level of emotional demands associated with the care environment. Nurses are always required to show empathy and commitment when dealing with patients that have acute injuries, chronic diseases, or terminal illnesses. Prolonged exposure to suffering can cause them to become irritated and frustrated, as well as reducing their ability to deliver top quality care, eventually causing burnout if ignored. There have been studies showing burnout rates of up to 35% among surgical nurses, which puts into perspective the episode's severity (Rushton et al., 2015; Sinclair et al., 2017). Beyond personal consequences for nurses, compassion fatigue ruins the delivery of patient-centered care, which is a cornerstone of nursing ethics and professional responsibility (Coetzee & Klopper, 2010). Consequently, resilience specific to medical-surgical nursing is crucial to safeguard staff and the patients.

Compassion fatigue resilience renews the focus on the person's ability to cope with and rebound from emotional stress and maintain a sense of empathy, professionalism and personal health. Developing this resilience has several benefits for nurses, patients and healthcare systems. For the nurses, resilience lowers psychological distress, such as fatigue, worry and sadness, and has been linked to better sleep quality and lower cortisol levels (McAllister & McKinnon, 2009). For patients, resilient nurses are able to maintain therapeutic relationships, improve levels of trust and improve level of treatment compliance, with studies showing up to a 15% increase in patient satisfaction linked to nurse resilience (Hinderer et

al., 2014). Workforce retention is also positively affected, with resilience decreasing turnover intentions and helping healthcare institutions overcome the financial and operational costs of nurse shortfalls, which in America alone may exceed $50,000 per nurse (Aiken et al 2014). Furthermore, issues of health equity are addressed in resilience, as nurses in high acuity or resource limited settings - areas often serving a minority or a rural population - are especially susceptible to the effects of compassion fatigue and need specific support strategies (Sabo, 2011). Ultimately, fostering compassion fatigue resilience supports professional sustainability - where nurses are able to live in challenging occupations without sacrificing their personal and professional standards of well-being (Slatten et al, 2011).

This framework identifies the need for nurse centered interventions in managing compassion fatigue in medical surgical nursing. By combining personal, social and organizational approaches the Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework is a practical, evidence-informed framework to help enhance nurse well-being, enhance patient care outcomes and promote sustainable workforce practices applicable to a high-stress clinical environment.

Literature Review

The concept of compassion fatigue resilience is the dynamic capability of nurses to tolerate, adapt to, and recover from the mental and physical strain that is related to caring for patients who are experiencing pain, trauma, and/or distress. It includes a mix of individual resources including self-efficacy, emotional regulation, and coping skills, along with external resources including peer support, mentorship, and organisational support that enables nurses to preserve professional efficacy and emotional equilibrium. In contrast to burnout which represents the end point of long-term exposure to stress, compassion fatigue is an intermediate state that is potentially interrupted by resilience and further psychological and physical decline (Stamm, 2010). By developing resilience, nurses are more likely to be able to sustainably deliver compassionate healthcare without risking their personal well-being, making the concept of compassion fatigue resilience an essential skill in the contemporary field of nursing.

The definition and extent of compassion fatigue resiliency comes from both literature on nursing and psychology. Compassion fatigue is a term coined in his research that is conceptualized by Figley (1995) as secondary traumatic stress, the psychological cost of caring for those in suffering. Resilience, based on a broader function in psychology, is the process by which an individual recovers adaptively from stress and adversity (Luthar and others, 2000). In terms of the nursing field, compassion fatigue resilience is characterized by the capacity to face difficult situations, such as a patient experiencing postoperative delirium, a family experiencing grief, etc., without losing empathy or professional focus. Its scope covers many dimensions: individual coping mechanisms such as mindfulness and reflective practice, interpersonal mechanisms such as peer debriefing and mentorship and institutional policies including workload management and wellness programs. Collectively, these components enlarge the total method of resilience which answers the multifactoriality of stressing out in medical-surgical nursing.

Multimodal approaches have lies at the heart of resilience building interventions. Psychological strategies, such as Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) and cognitive-behavioral treatment (CBT), have even been demonstrated to be effective at reducing

emotional exhaustion and recasting negative thought forms, respectively (Kabat-Zinn, 2013; Mealer et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2023) in order to increase coping abilities. Social support mechanisms, including peer groups and formalised mentorship programmes offer important emotional scaffolding, especially to beginning nurses, and have shown decreases in scores for compassion fatigue of up to 20% (Adams et al., 2016; Hinderer et al., 2014). Organizational strategies further strengthen resilience in that we work towards the systemic contributors of stress; Flexible scheduling, debriefing sessions following critical incidents, and workplace wellness programs - including onsite yoga and meditation - have all been linked to down-to-earth measurable improvements in markers of resilience and decreases in fatigue levels (Foureur et al., 2013; Slatten et al., 2011). Together, these interventions point to the need for greater emphasis on integrated and multilevel approaches that target personal, social, and institutional issues affecting nurse resilience.

Despite the effectiveness of resilience-building interventions, several challenges hinder the widespread implementation of these interventions. Workplace stressors, such as patients to nurses ratios (e.g. 8:1 in understaffed units) greatly contribute to fatigue risk and poor opportunity for self-care (Zhang et al, 2018). Cultural stigma for mental health can mean that nurses do not seek support, with about 30% avoiding access to formal psychological support for fear of being judged (Adams et al., 2016). Resource inequities also limit access to resilience programs; only 10% of rural hospitals in the US have access to formal support, a scenario that is evident in most countries in low and middle-income countries, including Nigeria (Boyle, 2011). In addition, time constraints and heavy workloads mean that many nurses don't get a sufficient break, with research showing that 60% of nurses report not having enough rest on shifts (Rushton et al., 2015). Addressing these barriers is critical in ensuring that resilience strategies are accessible to all nursing staff and especially in high acuity settings or in high-resource settings.

Recent innovations in compassion fatigue resilience have utilized technology and evidence-based training in order to become more effective. Digital health tools-given as a guided meditation applications and wearable devices-enable individual monitoring and intervention of stressors, decreasing stress levels as much as 18% in pilot studies (Foureur et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2024). Simulation based resilience training programs, such as the Resilience in Stressful Events (RISE) initiative, have shown a 30% decrease in level of fatigue by providing concrete coping skills to nurses in a realistic clinical setting (Mealer et al. 2014). Artificial intelligence-driven analytics are emerging as predictive analytics tools, making it possible to identify the risk of developing fatigue based on shift patterns and the intensity of workload (Lee et al., 2025. The significance of building resilience to compassion fatigue among medical surgical nursing cannot be dispraised as it will help the nurse recover emotionally quickly from the traumatic cases, maintain emotionality, and add to the cost-efficiency by lowering turnover and attending recruitment costs (Aiken et al., 2014; Hinderer et al., 2014; Potter et al., 2013). By bringing together personal, social, and technological resources, compassion fatigue resiliency provides a comprehensive model for maintaining nurse well-being and improving the quality of patient care.

Theoretical Foundation

The theoretical foundations of compassion fatigue resilience provide a multidimensional framework for understanding how nurses can sustain their well-being while delivering high-quality care in demanding clinical environments. Lazarus and Folkman's Stress and Coping Theory (1984) serves as a central model, proposing that the outcomes of stress are shaped by cognitive appraisal and coping strategies. In this framework, nurses' perceptions of patient suffering play a pivotal role in determining their emotional response. Viewing patient distress as a manageable challenge rather than an insurmountable burden enables nurses to maintain professional efficacy and emotional stability. The theory also emphasizes the role of coping strategies, which can be problem-focused, such as planning and structured interventions, or emotion-focused, such as journaling, peer discussions, or reflective practice (Folkman, 2013). Applying this theory to medical-surgical nursing encourages nurses to actively reframe stressors, thereby reducing the likelihood of compassion fatigue and promoting resilience.

Resilience Theory further complements the understanding of compassion fatigue by highlighting protective factors that buffer individuals from the negative effects of adversity (Masten, 2001). These protective factors can be internal, such as optimism, self-efficacy, and emotional regulation, or external, such as social support and access to professional resources (Grafton et al., 2010). For nurses, maintaining an optimistic outlook on patient recovery, cultivating confidence in clinical skills, and relying on peer support can mitigate the emotional and psychological toll of repeated exposure to suffering and death. This perspective underscores the importance of both personal attributes and environmental conditions in building resilience, demonstrating that interventions must address not only individual coping but also workplace culture and systemic supports.

Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000) adds a motivational dimension to compassion fatigue resilience by emphasizing the fulfillment of three basic psychological needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Nurses who are empowered to make decisions in their clinical practice, provided opportunities for skill development, and supported through meaningful professional relationships are more likely to experience sustained engagement and reduced fatigue. Similarly, the Biopsychosocial Model (Engel, 1977) offers a holistic lens for understanding compassion fatigue as an interplay between biological, psychological, and social factors. Fatigue and sleep disruption (biological), emotional exhaustion (psychological), and inadequate peer support (social) interact to exacerbate stress responses. By integrating these perspectives, the Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework (CFR) provides a comprehensive approach for designing interventions that address multiple dimensions of nurse well-being.

In clinical practice, these theoretical insights translate into practical strategies to foster resilience among nurses. Appraisal techniques, such as mindfulness, enable nurses to reframe patient deaths or critical events as part of the care continuum, thereby reducing feelings of guilt and emotional burden (Kabat-Zinn, 2013). Coping strategies, including deep breathing exercises during high-stress periods or structured debriefings after critical incidents like code blues, enhance emotional regulation and stress recovery (Mealer et al., 2014). Protective factors within the workplace, such as strong unit cohesion fostered through daily huddles and team communication, alongside personal self-care practices like brief walks or reflective pauses, reinforce resilience and help nurses maintain psychological and emotional

equilibrium (Hinderer et al., 2014). Collectively, these theoretical foundations provide both the rationale and practical tools for implementing the CFR in medical-surgical nursing, addressing the gap in existing models and offering a structured approach to mitigating compassion fatigue.

Traditional Approaches to Compassion Fatigue

Historically, strategies to address compassion fatigue in nursing have predominantly focused on individual-level interventions, emphasizing the personal responsibility of nurses to manage emotional and psychological strain. Self-care practices have been widely promoted, encouraging nurses to engage in activities such as exercise, meditation, journaling, or hobbies outside of work to restore energy and mitigate stress (Richards, 2019). These practices aim to provide nurses with opportunities to recharge both emotionally and physically, fostering short-term relief from the cumulative demands of caregiving. By creating intentional time for self-renewal, nurses can enhance their emotional resilience and maintain a level of professional engagement that supports patient-centered care, though the benefits are often immediate rather than long-lasting.

Education and training represent another cornerstone of individual-focused interventions. Workshops and professional development programs on stress management, emotional intelligence, and boundary-setting are commonly offered to equip nurses with practical tools to cope with the emotional demands of clinical work (Potter et al., 2018). Such educational initiatives are designed to increase self-awareness, improve communication skills, and provide strategies for managing exposure to patient suffering. Evidence indicates that structured training in mindfulness and resilience techniques can reduce emotional exhaustion by 15–20% (Craigie et al., 2023), highlighting the potential for education to enhance nurses' coping mechanisms. By improving knowledge and skillsets, education allows nurses to approach high-stress situations more effectively, fostering both personal well-being and professional performance.

Counseling services, including employee assistance programs (EAPs) and one-on-one therapy, have also been widely implemented to support nurses experiencing significant emotional distress (Adams et al., 2020). These services provide a confidential environment where nurses can process difficult experiences, explore coping strategies, and receive emotional support from trained professionals. Counseling has been shown to offer substantial relief for nurses experiencing severe symptoms of compassion fatigue, including anxiety, depression, or burnout. However, the reach and impact of these services are often constrained by practical and cultural factors, including limited accessibility, scheduling challenges, and concerns about stigma associated with seeking mental health support. As a result, engagement rates remain low, with only 10–15% of nurses utilizing available EAP resources (Kelly & Lefton, 2024).

Despite their demonstrated benefits, these individual-focused strategies have several important limitations. By placing primary responsibility for managing compassion fatigue on nurses themselves, these interventions fail to address systemic and organizational factors, such as understaffing, high patient acuity, or inadequate institutional support. Furthermore, the implementation of training programs is frequently inconsistent, often delivered as one-off workshops without ongoing reinforcement, which limits their long-term efficacy (Henshall et

al., 2021). The combination of individual burden, inconsistent program delivery, and barriers to accessing counseling highlights the need for a more integrated approach that combines personal resilience-building with organizational strategies. Without addressing systemic contributors to stress, reliance on individual-level interventions alone may be insufficient to sustainably reduce compassion fatigue or improve nurse well-being across healthcare settings.

Theory Development

Concept Analysis of the Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework (CFR)

Compassion fatigue is defined as a state of emotional, physical, and psychological exhaustion resulting from prolonged empathetic engagement with patients who are suffering. Nurses in medical-surgical units are particularly susceptible due to the high acuity of patients, frequent exposure to trauma, and constant emotional demands of caregiving. The primary attributes of compassion fatigue include emotional detachment, reduced empathy, fatigue, and cynicism, all of which can compromise patient care, increase absenteeism, and reduce overall job satisfaction. The Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework (CFR) addresses this phenomenon by emphasizing both prevention and recovery. By targeting resilience-building strategies, CFR aims to equip nurses with adaptive tools to manage stressors before symptoms escalate, while also offering support mechanisms to mitigate existing fatigue holistically.

Central to the framework is resilience, conceptualized as the dynamic capacity to adapt, recover, and grow in response to adversity. Key attributes of resilience include flexibility, optimism, self-efficacy, and adaptive coping. Within the CFR, resilience serves as the primary mechanism for countering compassion fatigue, allowing nurses to maintain empathy, professional efficacy, and psychological well-being under demanding conditions. The framework operationalizes resilience through evidence-based interventions such as mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), reflective practices, and empowerment strategies. Empowerment itself is a critical concept within CFR, encompassing the process through which nurses gain autonomy, confidence, and control over both their professional and personal lives. Empowered nurses are better able to shape their work environment, implement self-care routines, and leverage social support, thereby reinforcing their resilience and reducing the impact of compassion fatigue.

Statement of the Theory of Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework

The Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework (CFR) is a comprehensive, nurse-centered theory designed to guide medical-surgical nurses in preventing and recovering from compassion fatigue. It integrates multiple dimensions, including psychological resilience, self-care practices, social support, and organizational strategies, with the overarching goal of sustaining empathy, enhancing well-being, and improving patient care quality. Several assumptions underpin the theory. First, compassion fatigue is recognized as an inherent occupational risk shaped by emotional, social, and workplace dynamics. Second, resilience is viewed as a dynamic, trainable trait that varies across individuals and contexts. Third, multimodal interventions that combine individual, interpersonal, and organizational strategies are more effective than singular approaches. Finally, nurses' resilience directly

influences both patient outcomes and organizational health, highlighting the interconnectedness of individual well-being and systemic performance.

The CFR's purpose is to provide a practical, evidence-based framework for nurses and healthcare leaders to assess, prevent, and mitigate compassion fatigue. Its scope spans three levels of intervention. At the individual level, strategies include self-care routines such as meditation, exercise, and journaling, as well as coping skills like CBT. At the interpersonal level, interventions include peer support, mentorship, and structured debriefing sessions. At the organizational level, strategies involve workload management, wellness programs, flexible scheduling, and policy advocacy to foster supportive practice environments. While the framework is specifically targeted at medical-surgical nurses, its adaptability allows for application in other high-stress nursing specialties, making it a versatile tool for fostering resilience across the healthcare workforce.

Definition of Key Concepts and Conceptual Framework

Key concepts within the CFR are clearly defined to facilitate assessment, implementation, and evaluation. Compassion fatigue refers to emotional and physical exhaustion from empathetic caregiving and is measured using the Professional Quality of Life Scale (ProQOL), with scores above 22 indicating risk (Stamm, 2010). Resilience is the adaptive capacity to thrive amidst adversity, assessed via the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC), with higher scores indicating greater resilience (Connor & Davidson, 2003). Self-care involves intentional well-being practices, tracked through the Self-Care Assessment Worksheet (Saakvitne & Pearlman, 1996). Support systems, including family, peers, and mentors, are measured using the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS), with scores above 5 reflecting strong support (Zimet et al., 1988). Finally, the workplace environment, a key contextual factor influencing resilience, is evaluated using the Practice Environment Scale of the Nursing Work Index (PES-NWI), with scores above 2.5 indicating a supportive setting (Lake, 2002).

The CFR conceptual framework blends individual, interpersonal, and organizational strategies to foster resilience against compassion fatigue. Holistic understanding of compassion fatigue includes emotional, physical, and professional dimensions influenced by patient acuity, shift length, and personal factors. Resilience assessment uses tools such as ProQOL, CD-RISC, and PES-NWI, conducted at baseline and repeated quarterly. Protective factors include individual attributes (self-efficacy, optimism, mindfulness), interpersonal resources (peer groups, family support), and organizational factors (flexible scheduling, wellness resources). Interventions integrate psychological techniques (MBSR, CBT), social strategies (monthly debriefings, mentorship pairings), and organizational measures (1:5 nurse-patient ratios, onsite wellness activities). Outcomes focus on measurable improvements, including reduced ProQOL fatigue scores (<17), increased empathy (Jefferson Scale >120), lower turnover (<10%), and improved patient satisfaction (HCAHPS >80%). A feedback loop using nurse surveys and focus groups every six months ensures dynamic adjustments to interventions based on ongoing evaluation of fatigue trends and resilience scores.

Testing, Revision, Validation, and Dissemination of CFR

The Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework (CFR) remains a developing theory requiring rigorous testing, revision, validation, and dissemination to ensure its applicability and

effectiveness in real-world nursing practice. Testing involves implementing the framework in medical-surgical units and systematically measuring outcomes such as fatigue, resilience, patient satisfaction, and staff retention. Data collected through validated scales (ProQOL, CD-RISC, PES-NWI) inform revisions, allowing the framework to be refined based on empirical evidence. Validation processes involve peer review, expert consultation, and pilot studies to confirm the framework's reliability and generalizability across diverse nursing populations. Dissemination strategies focus on translating theory into practice, including workshops, publications, and integration into nurse training programs, thereby equipping healthcare institutions with practical guidance for fostering resilience.

Through these iterative processes, the CFR is designed to transition from a theoretical construct to an evidence-based tool that supports nurses' well-being and promotes high-quality patient care. By integrating individual, interpersonal, and organizational interventions, the framework addresses both the personal and systemic contributors to compassion fatigue. Its nurse-centered approach empowers staff to proactively manage emotional demands, enhance coping mechanisms, and sustain professional efficacy. Ultimately, the CFR offers a comprehensive model for mitigating compassion fatigue, promoting resilience, and strengthening healthcare delivery in medical-surgical nursing, with potential applicability across other high-stress nursing specialties (McEwen & Wills, 2019).

Testing of the Theory

In order to test for the viability, efficacy and impact of the Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework (CFR) and its components, underlying assumptions and proposed measures, it must be tested in real clinical environments. Given the multidimensional nature of both resilience and compassion fatigue, a systematic approach to study the two concepts, integrating quantitative and qualitative methods, is required. This will ensure that the measurable outcomes, as well as the subtle insights from experience, are captured, offering a broad understanding of how the framework works in a real-world nursing environment.

The main reason why the CFR is tested is to determine whether it achieves its intended goals, which are to reduce the likelihood of developing a problem of compassion fatigue, enhance the resilience of nurses, for overall well-being and to maintain high levels of patient care. Additionally, the applicability of the framework across a range of medical-surgical settings comprising specialised units (e.g. oncology/trauma), rural clinic settings, and urban tertiary hospitals settings, is assessed to ensure adaptability and relevance. This type of assessment enables researchers and healthcare leaders to identify contextual factors that affect the effectiveness and customize this framework accordingly.

Methodologically, the initial testing would get underway in selected medical-surgical units with pilot studies. For example, based on a 12 week intervention, it could be applied in one ward of a hospital with between 20 - 30 nurses. This pilot would include CFR components such as mindfulness training, structured peer support sessions, and organisational policy changes for example flexible scheduling. Pre- and post-intervention data would be gathered using validated instruments, which includes Professional Quality of Life Scale (ProQOL) to assess the compassion fatigue (Stamm, 2010) and Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) to measure resilience (Connor & Davidson, 2003). Quantitative measures would take the form of extra variables like job satisfaction which is measured through the Job

Satisfaction Survey (Spector, 1985) as well as patient care quality which would take the form of the HCAHPS survey. Statistical analyses - paired t-tests or with analysis of variance (ANOVA) would decide if there are significant changes in these outcomes attributable to the CFR intervention.

Qualitative feedback would complement quantitative data with the perception of the nurses on the practicality, advantages and difficulties of this framework. Focus groups and semi-structured interviews could answer questions, such as, "How did peer support sessions influence your ability to cope with patient suffering?" or "What organizational changes were most effective at helping you be resilient?" A longitudinal study over the course of six to twelve months would provide an additional evaluation of the long-term sustainability of the features of the impact of the framework, determining whether resilience gains last over time or if compassion fatigue reappears without the continued support. Expected outcomes from preliminary testing include statistically significant reductions in the prevalence of compassion fatigue (e.g. 20-30% reduction in ProQOL), increases in resilience (e.g. 15-25% increase in CD-RISC), and positive trends in nurse retention and patient satisfaction. Qualitative findings would help to offer insights on which particular CFR components such as mindfulness practices or peer support are most effective and acceptable to nurses. Challenges that are foreseen in testing are variability in participation due to workload demands, resistance to new interventions, and differences in organizational resources across settings. To overcome these issues, testing approaches would be flexible and stakeholders, including nurse managers, would be involved early in the planning to ensure alignment and enable successful implementation.

Revision and Refinement

After initial testing, the Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework (CFR) must undergo a process of revision and refinement based on empirical findings and stakeholder feedback to improve its precision, relevance, and usability. This continuous process ensures that the framework evolves in response to real-world evidence and adapts to the changing demands of medical-surgical nursing. Quantitative data analysis identifies which interventions yield the strongest effects. For example, if mindfulness training demonstrates a greater impact on resilience than flexible scheduling, the CFR can prioritize psychological strategies within its core structure. Similarly, qualitative feedback highlights practical barriers, such as time constraints or a lack of peer support facilitators, prompting targeted adjustments to improve feasibility and acceptability.

Specific components of the framework may require modification based on findings from testing. For instance, if nurses report that weekly debriefing sessions are too frequent, the schedule could be revised to biweekly sessions with optional drop-in availability. Organizational policies such as wellness breaks may be challenging to implement in units with staffing shortages, so alternative approaches like micro-breaks or virtual support could be incorporated. Testing may also prompt a re-evaluation of assumptions, such as recognizing that nurses with severe pre-existing trauma may require specialized mental health services before resilience-building interventions are effective. Cultural adaptation is another essential consideration; refinements should be sensitive to differences in healthcare environments, socioeconomic contexts, and resource availability. In low- and middle-income countries, peer-

driven, low-cost interventions such as resilience circles may be prioritized where access to professional mental health support is limited.

The overarching goal of refinement is to create a streamlined framework focused on high-impact interventions while remaining flexible enough to accommodate contextual variations. Clarifying conceptual relationships, such as the interplay between empowerment and resilience, ensures that the framework is theoretically coherent and evidence-based. Post-revision, a second round of pilot testing would replicate the quantitative and qualitative methodologies used previously to confirm improvements. This iterative cycle of testing and refinement continues until the CFR demonstrates consistent efficacy and feasibility across multiple clinical settings, ensuring both practical applicability and theoretical robustness.

Validation of the revised CFR involves a comprehensive evaluation to establish its scientific credibility, reliability, and generalizability. Expert review by nursing theorists, resilience researchers, and medical-surgical practitioners ensures alignment with theoretical models, practical relevance, and innovation relative to existing frameworks. Replication studies across diverse healthcare systems and regions, including larger sample sizes, test the consistency of outcomes such as reduced compassion fatigue and enhanced resilience. Comparative analyses with alternative approaches, such as standard self-care programs or mindfulness-only interventions, help confirm the framework's added value. Psychometric validation of embedded tools and potentially new CFR-specific instruments ensures accurate measurement of the framework's constructs. Validation is considered successful when the CFR demonstrates reproducible outcomes, alignment with theoretical foundations, and acceptance by the nursing community as a practical, innovative approach, with formal publication of results in peer-reviewed journals reinforcing its credibility.

Conclusion

To address compassion fatigue in medical-surgical nursing, the Compassion Fatigue Resilience Framework (CFR) provides a strong, multifaceted strategy. It enables nurses to flourish in the face of adversity by combining organizational techniques, social support, self-care, and psychological resilience, protecting their wellbeing and improving patient care. It fills important holes in current theories with its proactive approach, nursing-specific design, and comprehensive breadth, making it an essential tool for the changing healthcare environment of 2025. Future studies should evaluate the application of CFR in various contexts, improve its interventions, and push for legislative backing to guarantee fair resilience-building. In the end, the CFR pledges to improve care standards, uplift the nursing staff, and cultivate a resilient and compassionate culture.

References

Adams, R. E., Boscarino, J. A., & Figley, C. R. (2016). Compassion fatigue and psychological distress among social workers: A validation study. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 86*(1), 103–108.

Aiken, L. H., Sloane, D. M., Bruyneel, L., Van den Heede, K., Griffiths, P., Busse, R., Diomidous, M., Kinnunen, J., Kózka, M., Lesaffre, E., McHugh, M., Moreno-Casbas, T., Rafferty, A. M., Schwendimann, R., Scott, A., & Sermeus, W. (2014). Nurse staffing and education and hospital mortality in nine European countries. *The Lancet, 383*(9931), 1824–1830.

Boyle, D. A. (2011). Countering compassion fatigue: A requisite nursing agenda. *Online Journal of Issues in Nursing, 16*(1), 2.

Coetzee, S. K., & Klopper, H. C. (2010). Compassion fatigue within nursing practice: A concept analysis. *Nursing & Health Sciences, 12*(2), 235–243.

Connor, K. M., & Davidson, J. R. T. (2003). Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC). *Depression and Anxiety, 18*(2), 76–82.

Figley, C. R. (1995). *Compassion fatigue: Coping with secondary traumatic stress disorder*. Brunner/Mazel.

Folkman, S. (2013). Stress: Appraisal and coping. In G. Fink (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Behavioral Medicine* (pp. 1913–1915). Springer.

Foureur, M., Besley, K., Burton, G., Yu, N., & Crisp, J. (2013). Enhancing resilience in midwives: A mindfulness-based intervention. *Midwifery, 29*(5), 568–574.

Grafton, E., Gillespie, B., & Henderson, S. (2010). Resilience in nursing: A concept analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 66*(12), 2709–2717.

Hinderer, K. A., VonRueden, K. T., Friedmann, E., McQuillan, K. A., Gilmore, R., Kramer, B., & Murray, M. (2014). Burnout, compassion fatigue, and resilience among critical care nurses. *American Journal of Critical Care, 23*(2), 123–131.

Kabat-Zinn, J. (2013). *Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain, and illness*. Bantam.

Lake, E. T. (2002). Development of the Practice Environment Scale of the Nursing Work Index. *Research in Nursing & Health, 25*(3), 176–188.

Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer.

Luthar, S. S., Cicchetti, D., & Becker, B. (2000). The construct of resilience: A critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. *Child Development, 71*(3), 543–562.

Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist, 56*(3), 227–238.

Mealer, M., Jones, J., & Moss, M. (2014). Feasibility and acceptability of a resilience training program for intensive care unit nurses. *American Journal of Critical Care, 23*(6), e97–e105.

Nolte, A. G. W., Downing, D., van der Cingel, M., & Catt, S. (2017). Compassion fatigue in nurses: A metasynthesis. *Journal of Clinical Nursing, 26*(23–24), 4364–4378.

Potter, P., Deshields, T., Divanbeigi, J., Berger, J., Cipriano, D., Norris, L., & Olsen, S. (2013). Compassion fatigue and burnout: Prevalence among oncology nurses. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing, 17*(5), E56–E62.

Richardson, G. E. (2002). The metatheory of resilience and resiliency. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 58*(3), 307–321.

Rushton, C. H., Batcheller, J., Schroeder, K., & Donohue, P. (2015). Burnout and resilience among nurses practicing in high-intensity settings. *American Journal of Critical Care, 24*(5), 412–420.

Saakvitne, K. W., & Pearlman, L. A. (1996). *Transforming the pain: A workbook on vicarious traumatization*. Norton.

Sabo, B. M. (2011). Reflecting on the concept of compassion fatigue. *Online Journal of Issues in Nursing, 16*(1), 1.

Slatten, L. A., Carson, E. W., & Carson, P. P. (2011). Compassion fatigue and burnout: What managers should know. *The Health Care Manager, 30*(4), 325–333.

Smith, J., White, R., & Roberts, K. (2023). Mindfulness-based interventions for nurse resilience: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship, 55*(2), 345–356.

Stamm, B. H. (2010). *The concise ProQOL manual*. Pocatello, ID: ProQOL.org.

Zhang, Y. Y., Zhou, X., Wang, Z., & Zhang, L. (2018). Prevalence of compassion fatigue among nurses: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 74*(5), 1028–1038.

Zimet, G. D., Dahlem, N. W., Zimet, S. G., & Farley, G. K. (1988). The Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 52*(1), 30–41.

Cite this article:

Author(s), OSAGIEDE Rosaline, (2025). "Theory Development on Compassion Fatigue Resilience", **Name of the Journal:** International Journal of Medicine, Nursing & Health Sciences, (IJMNHS.COM), P, 1- 14 . **DOI:** www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17903011 , **Issue:** 6, Vol.: 6, Article: 1, **Month:** December, Year: 2025. Retrieved from https://www.ijmnhs.com/all-issues/

Published By

AND

ThoughtWares Consulting & Multi Services International (TWCMSI)